UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

65913 7590

01/13/2010

NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER TREAT, WILLIAM M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2181

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,013	02/10/2006	Om Prakash Gangwal	NL030979	2298

TITLE OF INVENTION: PARALLEL PROCESSING ARRAY

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	04/13/2010

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. <u>PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED</u>. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current **SMALL ENTITY status:**

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B -Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or <u>Fax</u> (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where ar in m

maintenance fee notifica	ed below or directed oth tions.		Not Fee pap	on of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as a correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission.			
M/S41-SJ	CTUAL PROPERT	Y & LICENSING		Cert	ificate of Mailing or Trans s Fee(s) Transmittal is being ith sufficient postage for firs Stop ISSUE FEE address O (571) 273-2885, on the d	mission 3 deposited with the United st class mail in an envelope above, or being facsimile ate indicated below.	
1109 MCKAY I SAN JOSE, CA			_			(Depositor's name)	
,,			<u> </u>			(Signature)	
						(Date)	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,013	02/10/2006		Om Prakash Gangwal		NL030979	2298	
	FARALLEL PROCES		T	T	T		
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE			
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0 •	\$1810	04/13/2010	
EXAM	IINER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS				
TREAT, W	TILLIAM M	2181	712-011000				
CFR 1.363). Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached. "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NO			or agents OR, alternati (2) the name of a single registered attorney or a registered patent attorney in the listed, no name will be the PATENT (print or typicate will appear on the patents).	of a single firm (having as a member a rney or agent) and the names of up to dent attorneys or agents. If no name is e will be printed. 2 3 int or type) on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filed for			
4a. The following fee(s) Issue Fee	riate assignee category or are submitted:		b. Payment of Fee(s): (Plea	Individual Conse first reapply an	rporation or other private gro	<u> </u>	
☐ Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) ☐ Advance Order - # of Copies			Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number (enclose an extra copy of this form).				
	ns SMALL ENTITY state	ıs. See 37 CFR 1.27.			L ENTITY status. See 37 CI		
NOTE: The Issue Fee an interest as shown by the	nd Publication Fee (if req records of the United Sta	uired) will not be accepte tes Patent and Trademark	d from anyone other than to Office.	he applicant; a regis	tered attorney or agent; or th	e assignee or other party in	
Authorized Signature				Date			
Typed or printed name				_	0		
This collection of inform an application. Confiden submitting the complete this form and/or suggest Box 1450, Alexandria, V Alexandria, Virginia 223	itiality is governed by 35 d application form to the ions for reducing this bu. Virginia 22313-1450. DC	FR 1.311. The informati U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary rden, should be sent to th O NOT SEND FEES OR	on is required to obtain or 1 1.14. This collection is est depending upon the indiving the Collection office COMPLETED FORMS TO	retain a benefit by the timated to take 12 n vidual case. Any cor er, U.S. Patent and 7 D THIS ADDRESS.	ne public which is to file (and ninutes to complete, includin mments on the amount of tire (Irademark Office, U.S. Depp. SEND TO: Commissioner	I by the USPTO to process) g gathering, preparing, and ne you require to complete artment of Commerce, P.O. for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	

PTOL-85 (Rev. 08/07) Approved for use through 08/31/2010.

OMB 0651-0033

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,013	02/10/2006	Om Prakash Gangwal	NL030979	2298
65913 73	590 01/13/2010	EXAMINER		
NXP, B.V.		TREAT, WILLIAM M		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DR SAN JOSE, CA 95			2181 DATE MAILED: 01/13/201	0

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 295 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 295 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 (571)-272-4200.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/568,013	GANGWAL ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	 William M. Treat	2181	
	VVIIIIaiti IVI. Tieat	2101	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comm IGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS	'e
1. X This communication is responsive to the paper filed on 11/2	<u>′13/2009</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-32</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unal (a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	• •		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cuments have been receive	ed in this national stage application from the	
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the requirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) \square including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment o	or in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413),	
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	Paper No 7. □ Examiner'	./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date <u>11/13/2009</u>			
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner	s Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
/William M. Treat/	<u> </u>	<u></u> -	_
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181			

Art Unit: 2181

- 1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 2. The examiner reviewed the references provided in the new IDS. One document was in German with only an English Language abstract and one document was in Japanese with only an English language abstract. As no concise statement of the relevance of either document or an English language translation of either document was submitted, the examiner reviewed only the English language abstract of the two documents. None of the new references supplied by applicants taught applicants' invention by themselves and none seemed suitable for combination with the reference used by the examiner to teach the bulk of applicants' claim limitations so the examiner is repeating his earlier reason for allowing applicants' claims over the Kleihorst et al. (Xetal ...) reference.
- 3. Applicants have argued in response to the examiner's rejection of claims 1-2, 11, 16-18, 27, and 32 under 35 USC 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kleihorst et al. (Xetal ...) and the examiner's rejection of dependent claims 3, 7-8, 12, 15, 19, 23-24, 28, and 31 under 35 USC 103 over Kleihorst and other art that applicants' independent claims contain, in substance, the limitation that "one or more of the processing elements in the processing array further comprises a storage element having at least one storage location, the storage element configured to be indirectly addressable by the received instruction, thereby enabling the processing of data dependent operations to be performed", and that "The aspects recited herein above do not appear to be taught in any regard by the Kleihorst reference." When the examiner looked at Fig. 1 of the Kleihorst reference he sees in Fig. 1(a) a linear processor array coupled to parallel line

Application/Control Number: 10/568,013

Art Unit: 2181

memories and in Fig. 1(b) each of the processing elements seems to have its own line memory. In the examiner's judgment this met the limitations related to the processing elements of the array further comprising a storage element. There are not lines etched on computer chips around the components of a processing element with name tags that say processing element and processing element's storage element. There are merely circuits which are connected to provide the functionality of applicants' claimed elements. and Kleihorst taught this, in the examiner's judgment. When the examiner looked at Fig. 1(b), he saw that the only way shown to address the processing elements line memory was the output of the accumulator. As an accumulator is defined in the art as "a register used for logic or arithmetic, usually to count items or accumulate a sum" (Computer Dictionary, 3rd edition, Microsoft Press, 1997, p. 14), the examiner thought this entirely consistent with the term, indirect address or relative address, which is defined in the art as "a location, as in a computer's memory, that is specified in terms of its distance (displacement or offset) from a starting point (base address). A relative address is typically computed by adding an offset to the base—in everyday terms, this is similar to creating the address 2001 Main Street, in which the base is the 2000 block of Main Street and the offset is 1, which specifies the first house from the beginning of the block. Also called indirect address." (Computer Dictionary, 3rd edition, Microsoft Press, 1997, p. 404). The examiner also noted that the (a) and (b) programs in Fig. 2 and the description of them in the last sentence on page 2 of the Kleihorst reference implied use of indexed addressing (i.e., indirect addressing).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2181

4. Since applicants are represented by experienced counsel, the examiner assumes applicants are aware that the express, implicit, and inherent disclosures of Kleihorst may be relied upon in the rejection of claims under 35 USC 102 (MPEP 2112). Also, the examiner assumes applicants are aware 37 CFR 1.56(b) explains applicants are responsible for providing information material to patentability when it establishes in combination with other information a prima facie case of unpatentability or refutes or is inconsistent with a position the applicant takes in asserting an argument of patentability. Whether or not the Kleihorst system actually was a system in which "one or more of the processing elements in the processing array further comprises a storage element having at least one storage location, the storage element configured to be indirectly addressable by the received instruction, thereby enabling the processing of data dependent operations to be performed" is a question of fact. If the Xetal system possessed this property then it was inherent in the system, whether it was explicitly stated in the Kleihorst reference or not, and the rejection of claims 1-2, 11, 16-18, 27, and 32 under 35 USC 102(b) was appropriate as was the rejection of dependent claims 3, 7-8, 12, 15, 19, 23-24, 28, and 31. Since two of the applicants (Abbo and Kleihorst) were among the co-authors of the Kleihorst article, the examiner is sure either could answer the question of fact as to whether or not the Xetal system actually was a system in which "one or more of the processing elements in the processing array further comprises a storage element having at least one storage location, the storage element configured to be indirectly addressable by the received instruction, thereby enabling the processing of data dependent operations to be performed." Since applicants are aware

Application/Control Number: 10/568,013

Art Unit: 2181

that asserting the Kleihorst reference does not teach expressly, implicitly or inherently that "one or more of the processing elements in the processing array further comprises a storage element having at least one storage location, the storage element configured to be indirectly addressable by the received instruction, thereby enabling the processing of data dependent operations to be performed", when it does so, would render invalid any patent granted based on applicants' assertion and endanger any related patents, the examiner has concluded, based on this assertion, that the Xetal system must not work as the examiner thought clearly evident to one of ordinary skill, and applicants' claims distinguish over the prior art of record.

Page 5

- 5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/568,013 Page 6

Art Unit: 2181

/William M. Treat/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181